

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,622 11/25/2003		Masanori Hayashi	SIW-072	1078	
959	7590	11/21/2006		EXAMINER	
LAHIVE & ONE POST		TELD, LLP SOLIARE	CREPEAU, JONATHAN		
BOSTON, MA 02109-2127				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1745	

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	10/723,622	HAYASHI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Jonathan S. Crepeau	1745	_
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a repleted in the second of th	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			,
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 h	November 2003.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	n.		,
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	•
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received in Ap	pplication No	
Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been i	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	•		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not r	received.	
		•	•
• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview St	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s))/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Int	formal Patent Application	
· 250	, -		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claim 1 is generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species:
 - (i) First embodiment of the invention (Fig. 2)
 - (ii) Second embodiment of the invention (Fig. 6)
 - (iii) Third embodiment of the invention (Fig. 8)
 - (iv) Fourth embodiment of the invention (Fig. 11)
 - (v) Fifth embodiment of the invention (Fig. 14)

The species are independent or distinct because they each involve a different way of performing the generic method recited in claim 1.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an

allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/723,622 Page 4

Art Unit: 1745

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan S. Crepeau whose telephone number is (571) 272-1299. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jonathan Crepeau Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745

jc